Acta Acustica united with Acustica
Vol. ? (?)

arXiv:1407.0773v3 [physics.ao-ph] 3 Sep 2015

An energy flux conserving one-way coupled mode propagation model

M. Yu. Trofimov, S. B. Kozitskiy, A. D. Zakharenko
Il'ichev Pacific Oceanological Institute, 43 Baltiyskay str., Vladivostok, 690041, Russia.
trofimov@poi.dvo.ru

Summary
A pure analytic one-way coupled mode propagation model for resonant interacting modes is obtained by the multiscale expansion method. It is proved that the acoustic energy flux is conserved in this model up to the first degree of the corresponding small parameter. The test calculations with the COUPLE program give an excellent agreement.

PACS no. 43.30.Bp, 43.30.Dr, 43.20.Bi

1. Introduction

The normal mode method is often used in the problems of acoustics. It consists in the (local) separation of variables of the original boundary value problem in such a way that on the cross-waveguide direction we have a spectral problem, from which the normal modes are obtained, and in the direction along the waveguide we have an initial boundary value problem, determining the amplitudes of the normal modes. In this formulation the field in a range-dependent waveguide is expanded in terms of local modes with range-dependent coefficients (mode amplitudes). In the adiabatic mode approximation the independent propagation for each mode is assumed. For the coupled mode propagation the derivation of the amplitude equations is not that obvious, and it is considered here for the acoustic case.

Adiabatic and coupled mode acoustic equations appeared as a convenient tool for solving problems of ocean acoustics since the works of A. D. Pierce [1], R. Burridge & H. Weinberg [2], J. A. Fawcett [3], and M. B. Porter [4, 5]. In all of these papers excepting [2] the method of multiscale expansions was not used [6, 7]. In this work we show that the systematic use of this method allows to obtain unidirectional equations that produce, in the considered numerical examples, the same results for the transmission losses as the COUPLE 2 way equations [8], when the range-dependent waveguide is approximated by range-independent stair steps and the coupled mode solution is obtained by matching the solutions of the wave equation for neighboring stair steps at their common boundary. Our approach in principle can be extended to handle propagation in three dimensions, whereas the discrete coupled mode method can not.

For the obtained equations an important property of the acoustic energy flux conservation is proved. Namely, if the difference between the wave numbers of the modes is of order 1 in the small parameter used in the multiscale expansions method, then the acoustic flux (see the definition and discussion in section 6) is of the same order, whereas the acoustic Helmholtz equation possesses the property of the energy flux conservation exactly.

The boundary and interface conditions are derived simultaneously and by the same method as the equations.

Received 3 September 2015,
accepted 3 September 2015.

2. Formulation of problem

We consider the propagation of time-harmonic sound in the axially symmetric three-dimensional waveguide $\Omega = \{(r, \phi, z) | 0 \le r < \infty, 0 \le \phi < 2\pi, -H \le z \le 0\}$ (z-axis is directed upward), described by the acoustic Helmholtz equation

$$(\gamma P_r)_r + \frac{1}{r}\gamma P_r + (\gamma P_z)_z + \gamma\kappa^2 P = \frac{-\gamma\delta(z - z_0)\delta(r)}{2\pi r}, \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma = 1/\rho$, $\rho = \rho(r, z)$ is the density, $\kappa(r, z)$ is the wavenumber. We assume the appropriate radiation conditions at infinity in the r, ϕ plane, the pressure-release boundary condition at $z = 0$

$$P = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z = 0\,, \quad (2)$$

and the rigid boundary condition $\partial u/\partial z = 0$ at $z = -H$. The parameters of medium may be discontinuous at the nonintersecting smooth interfaces

$z = h_1(r), \ldots, h_m(r)$, where the usual interface conditions

$$P_+ = P_- \,, \qquad \gamma_+ \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} - h_r \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} \right)_+ = \gamma_- \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} - h_r \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} \right)_- \tag{3}$$

are imposed. Hereafter we use the denotations $f(z_0, r)_+ = \lim_{z \downarrow z_0} f(z, r)$ and $f(z_0, r)_- = \lim_{z \uparrow z_0} f(z, r)$. Without loss of generality we may consider the case $m = 1$, so we set $m = 1$ and denote h_1 by h.

We introduce a small parameter ϵ (the ratio of the typical wavelength to the typical size of medium inhomogeneities), the slow variable $R = \epsilon r$ and postulate the following expansions for the parameters κ^2, γ and h:

$$\kappa^2 = n_0^2(R, z) + \epsilon \nu(R, z) \,, \ \gamma = \gamma(R, z) \,, \ h = h(R) \,.$$

To model the attenuation effects we admit ν to be complex. Namely, we take $\operatorname{Im} \nu = 2\eta\beta n_0$, where $\eta = (40\pi \log_{10} e)^{-1}$ and β is the attenuation in decibels per wavelength. This implies that $\operatorname{Im} \nu \geq 0$.

Consider a solution to the Helmholtz equation (1) in the form of the WKB-ansatz, where $\{\theta_j | j = M, \ldots, N\}$ is a set of phases (fast variables):

$$P = \sum_{j=M}^{N} (u_0^{(j)}(R, z) + \epsilon u_1^{(j)}(R, z) + \ldots) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta_j/\epsilon} \,. \tag{4}$$

Introducing this anzatz into equation (1), boundary condition (2) and interface conditions (3), all rewritten in the slow variable, we obtain the sequence of the boundary value problems at each order of ϵ.

3. The problem at $O(\epsilon^0)$

To obtain the normal modes we first consider the WKB anzats in the form $P = u_0^{(j)}(R, z) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta_j(R,z)/\epsilon}$. Further we can omit j. From the equations at $O(\epsilon^{-2})$ and $O(\epsilon^{-1})$ we can conclude that θ is independent of z.

At $O(\epsilon^0)$ now we have

$$(\gamma u_{0z})_z + \gamma n_0^2 - \gamma (\theta_R)^2 u_0 = 0 \,, \tag{5}$$

with the interface conditions of the order ϵ^0

$$u_{0+} = u_0 - \,, \qquad \left(\gamma \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial z} \right)_+ = \left(\gamma \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial z} \right)_- \quad \text{at} \quad z = h \,, \tag{6}$$

and boundary conditions $u = 0$ at $z = 0$ and $\partial u / \partial z = 0$ at $z = -H$. We seek a solution to problem (5), (6) in the form $u_0 = A(R)\phi(R, z)$. From eqs. (5) and (6) we obtain the following spectral problem for ϕ with the spectral parameter $k^2 = (\theta_R)^2$

$$\begin{gathered} (\gamma \phi_z)_z + \gamma n_0^2 \phi - \gamma k^2 \phi = 0 \,, \\ \phi(0) = 0 \,, \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z = -H \,, \\ \phi_+ = \phi_- \,, \\ \left(\gamma \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right)_+ = \left(\gamma \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right)_- \quad \text{at} \quad z = h \,. \end{gathered} \tag{7}$$

This spectral problem, being considered in the Hilbert space $L_{2,\gamma_0}[-H, 0]$ with the scalar product and the normalizing condition

$$(\phi, \psi) = \int_{-H}^{0} \gamma \phi \psi \, dz \,, \quad (\phi, \phi) = \int_{-H}^{0} \gamma \phi^2 \, dz \,,$$

has countably many solutions (k_j^2, ϕ_j), $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ where the eigenfunction can be chosen as real functions. The eigenvalues k_j^2 are real and have $-\infty$ as a single accumulation point [9].

4. The derivatives of eigenfunctions and wavenumbers with respect to R

Before considering the problem at $O(\epsilon^1)$ we should consider the calculation of the derivatives of the eigenfunctions and wavenumbers with respect to R.

Differentiating spectral problem (7) with respect to R we obtain the boundary value problem for ϕ_{jR}

$$\begin{gathered} (\gamma \phi_{jRz})_z + \gamma n_0^2 \phi_{jR} - \gamma k_j^2 \phi_{jR} = -(\gamma_R \phi_{jz})_z - \\ (\gamma n_0^2)_R \phi_j + 2 k_{jR} k_j \gamma \phi_j + \gamma_R k_j^2 \phi_j \,, \\ \phi_{jR}(0) = 0 \,, \quad \phi_{jRz}(-H) = 0 \,, \end{gathered} \tag{8}$$

with interface conditions at $z = h$

$$\begin{gathered} \phi_{jR+} - \phi_{jR-} = -h_R(\phi_{jz+} - \phi_{jz-}) \,, \\ \gamma_+ \phi_{jRz+} - \gamma_- \phi_{jRz-} = -(\gamma_{R+} \phi_{jz+} - \\ \gamma_{R-} \phi_{jz-}) - h_R \left(((\gamma \phi_{jz})_z)_+ - ((\gamma \phi_{jz})_z)_- \right) \,. \end{gathered} \tag{9}$$

We search a solution to problem (8), (9) in the form

$$\phi_{jR} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} C_{jl} \phi_l \,, \quad \text{where} \quad C_{jl} = \int_{-H}^{0} \gamma \phi_{jR} \phi_l \, dz \,.$$

Multiplying (8) by ϕ_l and then integrating resulting equation from $-H$ to 0 by parts twice with the use of interface conditions (9), we obtain

$$\begin{gathered} \left(k_l^2 - k_j^2 \right) C_{jl} = \int_{-H}^{0} \gamma_R \phi_{jz} \phi_{lz} \, dz + 2 k_{jR} k_j \delta_{jl} - \\ - \int_{-H}^{0} \left(\gamma n_0^2 \right)_R \phi_j \phi_l \, dz + k_j^2 \int_{-H}^{0} \gamma_R \phi_j \phi_l \, dz + \\ \left\{ h_R (\gamma^2 \phi_{jz} \phi_{lz})_+ \left[\left(\frac{1}{\gamma} \right)_+ - \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} \right)_- \right] - \right. \\ \left. h_R \phi_j \phi_l \left[\left(\gamma \left(k_j^2 - n_0^2 \right) \right)_+ - \left(\gamma \left(k_j^2 - n_0^2 \right) \right)_- \right] \right\} \Big|_{z=h} \,, \end{gathered}$$

where δ_{jl} is the Kronecker delta. Note that $(\gamma^2\phi_{jz}\phi_{lz})_+ = (\gamma^2\phi_{jz}\phi_{lz})_-$.

5. The problem at $O(\epsilon^1)$

We now represent a solution to the Helmholtz equation (1) in the form of anzats (4). At $O(\epsilon^1)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=M}^{N}\left(\left(\gamma u_{1z}^{(j)}\right)_z + \gamma n_0^2 u_1^{(j)} - \gamma k_j^2 u_1^{(j)}\right)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta_j/\epsilon} = \\
&\sum_{j=M}^{N}\Big(-\mathrm{i}\gamma_R k_j u_0^{(j)} - 2\mathrm{i}\gamma k_j u_{0R}^{(j)} - \mathrm{i}\gamma k_{jR} u_0^{(j)} \\
&\qquad -\mathrm{i}\gamma k_j \frac{1}{R} u_0^{(j)} - \nu\gamma u_0^{(j)}\Big)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta_j/\epsilon}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

with the boundary conditions $u_1^{(j)} = 0$ at $z = 0$, $\partial u_1^{(j)}/\partial z = 0$ at $z = -H$, and the interface conditions at $z = h(R)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=M}^{N}(u_{1+}^{(j)} - u_{1-}^{(j)})\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta_j/\epsilon} = 0\,, \\
&\sum_{j=M}^{N}[\gamma_+ u_{1z+}^{(j)} - \gamma_- u_{1z-}^{(j)} \\
&\qquad + \mathrm{i}k_j h_R u_0^{(j)}(\gamma_- - \gamma_+)]\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta_j/\epsilon} = 0\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We seek a solution to problem (10), (11) in the form

$$u_1^{(j)} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} B_{jl}(X,Y)\phi_l(z,X)\,,$$
$$\text{where}\quad B_{jl} = \int_{-H}^{0}\gamma u_1^{(j)}\phi_l\,dz\,.$$

Multiplying (10) by ϕ_l and then integrating resulting equation from $-H$ to 0 by parts twice with the use of interface conditions (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=M}^{N}\big((k_l^2 - k_j^2)B_{jl} \\
&\qquad -A_j\mathrm{i}k_j h_R\phi_j\phi_l\,[\gamma_+ - \gamma_-]|_{z=h}\big)\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta_j/\epsilon} \\
&= \sum_{j=M}^{N}\Big(-\mathrm{i}k_j A_j\int_{-H}^{0}\gamma_R\phi_j\phi_l\,dz \\
&- 2\mathrm{i}k_j A_j\int_{-H}^{0}\gamma\phi_{jR}\phi_l\,dz - 2\mathrm{i}k_{j,R}A_{j,R}\int_{-H}^{0}\gamma\phi_j\phi_l\,dz \\
&- \mathrm{i}k_{j,R}A_j\int_{-H}^{0}\gamma\phi_j\phi_l\,dz - \mathrm{i}k_j\frac{1}{R}A_j\int_{-H}^{0}\gamma\phi_j\phi_l\,dz \\
&\qquad -A_j\int_{-H}^{0}\nu\gamma\phi_j\phi_l\,dz\Big)\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta_j/\epsilon}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The terms $(k_l^2 - k_j^2)B_{jl}$ in these expressions can be omitted because of the resonant condition $|k_l - k_j| = O(\epsilon)$.

As

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\mathrm{i}k_j A_j\int_{-H}^{0}\gamma_R\phi_j\phi_l\,dz - 2\mathrm{i}k_j A_j\int_{-H}^{0}\gamma\phi_{jR}\phi_l\,dz \\
&= \mathrm{i}k_j A_j\,(C_{lj} - C_{jl}) - \mathrm{i}k_j A_j h_R\phi_j\phi_l\,[\gamma_+ - \gamma_-]|_{z=h}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

we get, after some algebra,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=M}^{N}\Big(\mathrm{i}k_j A_j\,(C_{lj} - C_{jl}) - 2\mathrm{i}k_j A_{j,R}\delta_{jl} - \mathrm{i}k_{jR}A_j\delta_{jl} \\
&\qquad -\mathrm{i}k_j\frac{1}{R}A_j\delta_{jl} - A_j\int_{-H}^{0}\nu\gamma\phi_j\phi_l\,dz\Big)\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta_j/\epsilon} = 0\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1. *The solvability condition for the problem at $O(\epsilon^1)$ is a system of equations for $l = M,\dots,N$*

$$2\mathrm{i}k_l A_{l,R} + \mathrm{i}k_{l,R}A_l + \mathrm{i}k_l\frac{1}{R}A_l + \sum_{j=M}^{N}\alpha_{lj}A_j\mathrm{e}^{\theta_{lj}} = 0\,, \tag{12}$$

where α_{lj} and θ_{lj} are given by the following formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{lj} &= \int_{-\infty}^{0}\gamma\nu\phi_j\phi_l\,dz - \mathrm{i}k_j\,(C_{lj} - C_{jl})\,, \\
\theta_{lj} &= \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\epsilon}(\theta_j - \theta_l)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

6. Energy flux conservation for equations (12)

The importance of the energy conservation law is not doubtful. For the wave equation such a law can be established [10]. Since the Helmholtz equation is obtained from the wave equation, the energy conservation law is converted to the acoustic energy flux conservation property. This is the reason why it is widely accepted [4] that energy flux conservation should be maintained in any propagation model. The acoustic energy flux averaged over the period is defined as

$$J(r,z) = \frac{1}{2\omega}\gamma\,\mathrm{Im}((\mathrm{grad}\,P(r,z))P^*(r,z))\,.$$

From now on we drop the inessential factor $1/2\omega$. As is well known, if P is a solution of the Helmholtz equation (1) then the corresponding energy flux is conserved, that is $\mathrm{div}\,J(r,z) = 0$. With our boundary conditions we have also the conservation property

$$\mathrm{div}\int_{-H}^{0}J(r,z)\,dz = 0\,.$$

Proposition 2. *Assume that $\mathrm{Im}\,\bar{\nu} = 0$. Let $\{A_j | j = M,\dots N\}$ be a solution to equations (12). Then for $P = \sum_{j=M}^{N}A_j\phi_j\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta_j/\epsilon}$ we have*

$$\mathrm{div}\int_{-H}^{0}J(r,z)\,dz = O(\epsilon^2)\,.$$

Proof. First calculate the divergence in the general form for the anzats used:

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div}\int_{-H}^{0} J(r,z)\,dz &= \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left\{ r\left[\sum_{l=M}^{N} k_l|A_l|^2 \right.\right.\\ &+\epsilon\sum_{l=M}^{N}\sum_{j=M}^{N}\operatorname{Im}\left(C_{lj}A_lA_j^*\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\theta_l-\theta_j)/\epsilon)}\right)\\ &\left.\left.+\epsilon\sum_{l=M}^{N}\operatorname{Im}(A_{l,R}A_l^*)\right]\right\} \qquad (14)\\ &=\epsilon\sum_{l=M}^{N}\sum_{j=M}^{N}(k_l-k_j)C_{lj}\operatorname{Re}\left(A_jA_l^*\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\theta_j-\theta_l)/\epsilon}\right)\\ &+\epsilon\sum_{l=M}^{N}(k_l|A_l|^2)_R+\epsilon\frac{1}{R}\sum_{l=M}^{N}k_l|A_l|^2+O(\epsilon^2)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Consider now the sum on l of equations (12) multiplied by A_l^* minus the conjugate equations multiplied by A_l

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=M}^{N}\Bigg[&\left(2\mathrm{i}k_lA_{l,R}+\mathrm{i}k_{l,R}A_l+\mathrm{i}k_l\frac{1}{R}A_l\right.\\ &\left.+\sum_{j=M}^{N}\alpha_{lj}A_j\mathrm{e}^{\theta_{lj}}\right)A_l^*-\\ &\left((-2\mathrm{i}k_lA_{l,R}^*-\mathrm{i}k_{l,R}A_l^*-\mathrm{i}k_l\frac{1}{R}A_l^*\right.\\ &\left.\left.+\sum_{j=M}^{N}\alpha_{lj}^*A_j^*\mathrm{e}^{\theta_{lj}^*}\right)A_l\Bigg]=0\,.\end{aligned}$$

After some transformation we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{l=M}^{N}\sum_{j=M}^{N}(\alpha_{lj}A_j\mathrm{e}^{\theta_{lj}}A_l^*-\alpha_{lj}^*A_j^*\mathrm{e}^{\theta_{lj}^*}A_l)\\ &+\sum_{l=M}^{N}2\mathrm{i}((k_l|A_l|^2)_R+k_l\frac{1}{R}|A_l|^2)=0\,,\end{aligned}$$

then substitute for α_{lj} its expression (13)

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=M}^{N}\sum_{j=M}^{N}&\left(-\mathrm{i}k_j(C_{lj}-C_{jl})A_j\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\theta_j-\theta_l)/\epsilon}A_l^*+\right.\\ &\left.-\mathrm{i}k_j(C_{lj}-C_{jl})A_j^*\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\theta_l-\theta_j)/\epsilon}A_l\right)\\ &+\sum_{l=M}^{N}2\mathrm{i}((k_l|A_l|^2)_R+k_l\frac{1}{R}|A_l|^2)=0\,,\end{aligned}$$

and collect terms

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=M}^{N}\sum_{j=M}^{N}&\left(-\mathrm{i}k_j(C_{lj}-C_{jl})2\operatorname{Re}(A_j\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\theta_j-\theta_l)/\epsilon}A_l^*)\right)\\ &+\sum_{l=M}^{N}2\mathrm{i}((k_l|A_l|^2)_R+k_l\frac{1}{R}|A_l|^2)=0\,,\end{aligned}$$

write double sums separately for terms with C_{lj} and C_{jl}

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{l=M}^{N}\sum_{j=M}^{N}\left(-\mathrm{i}k_jC_{lj}2\operatorname{Re}(A_j\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\theta_j-\theta_l)/\epsilon}A_l^*)\right)\\ &+\sum_{l=M}^{N}\sum_{j=M}^{N}\left(\mathrm{i}k_jC_{jl}2\operatorname{Re}(A_j\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\theta_j-\theta_l)/\epsilon}A_l^*)\right)\\ &+\sum_{l=M}^{N}2\mathrm{i}((k_l|A_l|^2)_R+k_l\frac{1}{R}|A_l|^2)=0\,,\end{aligned}$$

exchange indexes l and j in the second double sum and finally get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=M}^{N}\sum_{j=M}^{N}&\left(\mathrm{i}(k_l-k_j)C_{lj}2\operatorname{Re}(A_j\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\theta_j-\theta_l)/\epsilon}A_l^*)\right)\\ &+\sum_{l=M}^{N}2\mathrm{i}((k_l|A_l|^2)_R+k_l\frac{1}{R}|A_l|^2)=0\,.\end{aligned}$$

The last equation coincides modulo 2i with the $O(\epsilon)$-part of (14). □

7. Numerical examples

For the standard ASA wedge benchmark with the angle of wedge $\approx 2.86°$ we numerically simulate the sound propagation to illustrate the efficiency of our coupled-mode model. The bottom depth decreases linearly from $200\,m$ at $X=0$ to zero at $X=4\,km$. The sound speed in the water is $1500\,m/sec$. The sound speed in the bottom, which is considered liquid, is $1700\,m/sec$. The bottom density is $1500\,kg/m^3$, the water density is $1000\,kg/m^3$. We assume that there is no attenuation in the water layer, and in the bottom the attenuation is $0.5\,dB/\lambda$. For the calculation purposes we restrict the total depth by $1500\,m$ and suppose that in the bottom the absorption increases linearly from $0.5\,dB/\lambda$ at depth $1000\,m$ to $2.5\,dB/\lambda$ at depth $1500\,m$.

The point source of frequency 25 Hz is placed at the depth of 100 m. In this case we have 44 propagating modes. Figure 1 illustrates the transmission loss for the receiver depth of 30 m. Comparison with the numerical solution obtained by the COUPLE program [8] shows that the mean square difference between the two curves is about 0.15 dB. A similar result is presented in figure 2 where the transmission loss for the ASA wedge benchmark at depth 150 m is depicted. In this case the mean square difference between our curve and the curve produced with the COUPLE 2 way program is about 0.4 dB.

8. Conclusion

In this article a one-way coupled mode propagation model for the resonantly interacting modes has been



Figure 1. The result of the numerical simulation with coupled-mode model (12) for the standard ASA wedge benchmark with absorbing bottom compared to solutions obtained by the COUPLE program [8] and the adiabatic mode equation. The source is placed at 100 m, and the depth of the receiver is 30 m.



Figure 2. The result of the numerical simulation with coupled-mode model (12) for the standard ASA wedge benchmark with absorbing bottom compared to solutions obtained by the COUPLE program [8] and the adiabatic mode equation. The source is placed at 100 m, and the depth of the receiver is 150 m.

introduced. The acoustic energy flux is conserved for the model with the accuracy adequate to the used approximation (proposition 2). The test calculations

were done for the ASA wedge benchmark and proved excellent agreement with the COUPLE program [8].

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the support of "Exxon Neftegas Limited" company.

References

[1] A. D. Pierce: Extension of the method of normal modes to sound propagation in an almost-stratified medium. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. **37** (1965) 19–27.

[2] R. Burridge, H. Weinberg: Horizontal rays and vertical modes. – In: Wave propagation and underwater acoustics. J. R. Keller, I. S. Papadakis (eds.). Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1977.

[3] J. A. Fawcett: A derivation of the differential equations of coupled-mode propagation. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. **92** (1992) 290–295.

[4] F. B. Jensen, W. A. Kuperman, M. B. Porter, H. Schmidt: Computational ocean acoustics. AIP Press, New York, 2011, xviii+794.

[5] M. B. Porter, E. L. Reiss: A numerical method for bottom interacting ocean acoustic normal modes. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. **77** (1985) 1760–1767.

[6] A. H. Nayfeh: Perturbation methods. John Wiley and Sons, New York, London, Sydney, Toronto, 1973, 437.

[7] M. Y. Trofimov: Narrow-angle parabolic equations of adiabatic single-mode propagation in horizontally inhomogeneous shallow sea. Acoust. Phys. **45** (1999) 575–580.

[8] R. B. Evans: Couple, documentation. 99F Hugo Road, North Stonington, CT 06359, USA (860) 889-1636, 2007.

[9] M. A. Naimark: Linear differential operators. elementary theory of linear differential operators: with additional material by the author, part i. F. Ungar Pub. Co., New York, 1967, 144.

[10] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshits: Fluid mechanics, course of theoretical physics, vol. 6. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1999, 539.